## **A CYCLOAODITION ROUTE TO 14-HYDROXYSTEROIDS**

**Gerald Kirsch, Roland Golde, and Giinter Neef\*** 

**Research Laboratories of Schering AG. D-1000 Berlin 65, Federal Republic of Germany** 

**SUHMARY: Steroidal 14,16-dienolacetates are stereoselectively converted to either 14R- or 14a-hydroxy steroids by a reaction**  sequence based on [4+2] cycloaddition with benzyl nitroso**formate.** 

**Although chemical 1) and microbiological') procedures exist to introduce a 14-hydroxy group into the steroid skeleton, there is. an obvious need for more general methodology and for a better control of stereoselectivity.** 

**Benzyl nitrosoformate 2 generated in situ by tetrabutyl ammonium periodate**  oxidation of benzyl-N-hydroxycarbamate<sup>3)</sup> regioselectively added to dienol**acetate 1 with formation of two stereoisomeric cycloadducts 3a.b. Surpri** $s$ ingly,  $\alpha$ -adduct  $3a^5$ <sup>)</sup> turned out to be the major component - a result in **marked contrast to the exclusive formation of O-face adducts from type 1 4) dienes and various other dienophiles** .



**Obviously, chromatographic separation of 3a,b and hydrogenation would lead to lla-hydroxy androstane 4 and, in a less economic fashion, to 14R-hydroxy isomer 5. However, the nonstereoselective cycloaddition step made this approach unattractive.** 

**The procedure became noteworthy after we found experimental conditions to transform the total isomeric mixture 3a.b to either t4R-hydroxy derivative 5 or to 14o-hydroxy epimer 4.** 

**Upon heating the crude reaction product 3a,b in methanol (10 h, reflux) both isomers underwent conversion to 14B-oximino substituted enone 6<sup>5)</sup> whic by palladium-catalyzed hydrogenation was transformed to 14R-hydroxy androstane 5 in an excellent overall yield (87 % based on 1).** 



**As a next step, we separated 3a,b by silicagel chromatography in order to subje'ct both isomers individually to solvolytic conditions.** 

**a-Adduct 3a reacted very sluggishly in methanol requiring a 10 hour reflux period for full transformation to enone 6. Pure R-adduct 3b5)rapidly (metha nol, reflux, 20 min) underwent conversion to a mixture of 6 and a-adduct 3a** 

**There are two conclusions to be drawn from these experiments:** 

- **a. chemoselectivity: a-adduct 3a is remarkably stable towards methanolysis whereas R-adduct 3b is readily solvolyzed with formation of enone 6. The formation of 6 from a-adduct 3a is explained by a retro Diels-Alder process and recycloaddition to give an equilibrium mixture 3a,b from which 3b is removed by methanolysis.**
- **b. thermodynamic stability: the observation of products 6 and 3a after short methanol treatment of pure 3b clearly demonstrates that R-face adduct 3b, besides being rapidly solvolyzed, isomerizes with formation of a-adduct** 3a. **In order to exclude the solvolytic process, we heated isomer 3b in toluene (20 min, 80 "C) obtaining a mixture 3a,b in a ratio of 9:l in favor of the a-isomer** 3a.

**With these results in mind it became quite obvious how to proceed experimentally in order to obtain either isomer in almost quantitative yield. AS described above, methanolysis of a cycloadduct mixture 3a,b will lead to 140-hydroxy derivative 5 via intermediate 6, irrespective of the ratio 3a:3b. Alternatively, 14a-hydroxyandrostane 4 is obtained by a normal workup of cycloaddition product 3a,b crystallization of which from diisopropyl ether giving a first crop (60 %) of pure a-adduct 3a. The mother liquor is concentrated and heated shortly in toluene (20 min. 80 "C) to allow equilibration. Subsequent crystallization and chromatography yield another 29 % of 3a which by palladium-catalyzed hydrogenation in ethanol is smoothly converted into 14a-hydroxy androstane 4.** 

**In order to make sure that the formation of 14R-oximino substituted enone 6 from a-adduct 3a was not the result of an unknown intramolecular rearrangement, we repeated the process of methanolysis with 3a in the presence of**  a competing diene 7. The detection of enone 8<sup>5)</sup> in the product mixture clearly supports the mechanistic interpretation given above.



 $(molar ratio 3a:7 = 1 : 1)$ 

**Acknowledgments: We thank Prof. E. Winterfeldt, University of Hannover, for helpful comments. Thanks are due to Dr. G.A. Hoyer, Schering AG, for his support in the interpretation of spectroscopic data.** 

## **REFERENCES**

- **I. a) F. Sondheimer, S. Burstein, and R. Mechoulam; J. Am. Chem. Sot. 82, 3209 (1960).** 
	- **b) A. Afonso; Can. J. Chem. 47, 3693 (1969).**
	- **c) G. Groszek, M.M. Kabat, A. Kurek, M. Masuyk, and J. Wicha; Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Chem. 34, 314 (1986).**
	- **d) G. Schubert and K. Ponsold; Pharmazie 34, 323 (1979).**
	- **e) P. Weltel, B. Janssen, and H. Duddeck; Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1981, 546.**
- **2. J. de Flines: The Use of Biocatalysis in the Synthesis and Transformation of Steroids, in Fermentation Advances, ed. D. Perlman, Academic Press New York, London 1969, pp. 385-390.**
- **3. a) G.W. Kirby; Chem. Sot. Rev. 6, 1 (1977).** 
	- **b) J.E. Baldwin, P.D. Bailey, G. Gallacher, M. Otsuka, K.A. Singleton, and P.M. Wallace; Tetrahedron 40, 3695 (198;).**
- **4. a) J.R. Bull and R.I. Thomson; J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun. 1982, 451. b) 5. Scholz, H. Hofmeister, G. Neef, E. Ottow, C. Scheidges, and R. Wiechert; Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989, 151.**
- **5. All compounds were characterized by nmr (300 MHz), ir, uv and mass spectra.**

**3a:** m.p. 145-147°C (from ethyl acetate/diisopropyl ether),  $\left[\alpha\right]_0^{20}$  -60.9°  $(CHCI<sub>3</sub>, c = 0.505)$ . nmr  $(CDC1<sub>3</sub>)$ :  $\delta = 0.95$  ppm (s, 3H, H-18), 1.01 (s, **3H, H-19), 1.96 (s, 3H, COCH3), 2.03 (s, 3H, COCH3), 4.59 (m, lH, H-3), 5.07 (d, J = 11 Hz, IH, benzylic). 5.16 (d, J = 11 Hz, lH, bentylic), 5.43 (m, iH, H-6), 6.22 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H. H-16), 6.90 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, IH. H-15), 7.34 (m, 5H, aromatic).** 

**3b:** oil, nmr (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.03 ppm (s, 3H, H-19), 1.17 (s, 3H, H-18), **3.03 (s, 6H, CDCH3), 4.59 (m, IH. H-3), 5.14 (s, 2H, benzylic), 5.43 (m. lH, H-6), 6.31 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, IH, H-16), 6.68 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, lH, H-15), 7.33 (m. 5H, aromatic).** 

**6:**  $m.p. 183-184°C$  (from ethyl acetate/diisopropyl ether),  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  -47.3°  $(CHCI<sub>3</sub>, c = 0.505)$ . nmr  $(CDC1<sub>3</sub>)$ :  $\delta = 1.00$  ppm (s, 3H, H-19), 1.12 (s, **3H, H-18), 2.03 (s, 3H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.58 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.04 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H, benzylic), 5.13 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H, benzylic), 5.46 (m, 1H, H-6), 6.31 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, lH, H-16), 6.78 (s, IH, NH , 7.34 (m, 5H, aromatic), 7.49 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, IH, H-15).** 

**8: m.p. 178-179°C (from methanol),**  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  **+86.6° (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c = 0.51), nmr**  $(CDC1<sub>3</sub>)$ : 6 = 1.14 ppm (s, 3H, H-18), 3.77 (s, 3H, 0CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.09 (d, J = **11 HZ, lH, benzylic), 5.18 (d, J = 11 Hz, IH,** benzylic), **6.31 (d, J = 4 Hz, IH, H-16), 6.62 (m, lH, H-4), 6.71 (m, lH, H-2), 6.92 (s, IH, NH), 7.10 (d, J = 4 Hz, lH, H-l), 7.33 (m. 6H, aromatic and H-15).** 

(Received in Germany 19 June 1989)